(38)

HIGH COURT OF CHHATTISGARH: BILASPUR

Writ Petition (C) No.1171 of 2007

PETITIONER

Dhani Ram Sahu, Aged about 36 years, S/o. Puran Lal Sahu, Upa Sarpanch, Village Panchayat Kharkara Development Block – Chura, Tehsil – Gariyaband, District Raipur (CG).

Versus

RESPONDENTS

1) State of Chhattisgarh, through Secretary Panchayat and Gramin Vikas, D.K.S. Bhawan, Raipur, Raipur (CG).

2) Upper Collector Gariyaband, District Raipur (CG).

3) Gram Panchayat Kharkara Development Block Chura, Tehsil – Gariyaband, Dist: Raipur (CG).

4) Gunit Ram Dhruv S/o. Jagru Ram Dhruv, Aged about 50 years, Ex-Sarpanch, Village Panchayat Kharkara, Development Block Chura, Tehsil & Dist: Raipur (CG).

5) B.B. Panch Bhai, Presiding Officer (Tehsildar) Rajim, Dist: Raipur (CG).

6) Peelu Ram, Panch.

7) Smt. Hemin Bai Panch.

8) Daduram Panch.

9) Ramji Panch.

10) Naresh Kumar Panch.

11) Sohanlal Panch.

12) Smt. Inbai Panch.

13) Smt. Padma Bai Panch.

14) Smt. B.B. Panch Bai.

6 to 14 are all Panchas of Village Panchayat Kharkara, Tehsil Gariyaband, Dist: Raipur.

(39)

(Writ Petition under Article 226 of the Constitution of India)

SB: Hon'ble Mr. Satish K. Agnihotri, J.

Present:	Shri Kishore Bhaduri, counsel for the petitioner. Shri Suryakant Mishra, Panel Lawyer for the respondents No. 1 and 2/State.

ORDER
(Passed on 22nd August, 2007)

Learned counsel appearing for the petitioner submits that the petitioner does not want to press other points, except that the Additional Collector, Gariyaband, be directed to consider and decide the appeal filed by the respondent No.4 in Revenue Case No.03/A/69/2006-2007 (Gunit Ram, Sarpanch, Gram Panchayat, Kharkara Vs. Dhaniram, Upsarpanch, Gram Panchayat Kharkara and nine others) expeditiously, to which learned counsel appearing for the respondents No. 1 and 2/State has no objection.

2) In view of the limited submission made by the learned counsel appearing for the petitioner and the fact that the appeal is pending consideration since September, 2006, it is expedient to direct the Additional Collector, Gariyaband, to consider and decide the pending appeal as early as possible, preferably within a period of two months from the date of receipt of a copy of this order.

3) In view of the foregoing, this petition is disposed of. Consequently, M.W.P.No.764/2007 and I.A.No.1621/2007 stand disposed of.

Sd/-
Satish K. Agnihotri
Judge

raju